



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-23634-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.07.2024

SHEELA HUDDA

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report 04.07.2024 already filed in the form of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, EOW, Gurugram is ordered to be taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
273	20.12.2022	419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC	DLF Phase-1, Gurugram

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 10.05.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner



has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohan Puri has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor she is required for further investigation.

5. Heard.

6. During the course of hearing on 10.05.2024 the following order was passed:-

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has no role to play in the alleged transaction but has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that in fact the dispute raised in the FIR pertains to the agreement to sell executed between the complainant and the daughter of the petitioner qua the sale of property wherein the daughter of the petitioner namely Muskan Hudda, has since been arrested and granted concession of regular bail. He contends that the petitioner has nothing to do with the said agreement but has been roped in on the basis of alleged disclosure statement made by her daughter that some of the documents are in custody of the petitioner which is per se incorrect. He contends that the petitioner is a widow having no concern with the alleged transaction nor she is having any criminal antecedents and is ready to join investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 18.07.2024.

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of her arrest, she is ordered to be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”



7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 10.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 10.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.07.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No